

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DENNIS CLARK,

Plaintiff,

- against -

REFINERY 29 INC.

Defendant.

Docket No. _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Dennis Clark (“Clark” or “Plaintiff”) by and through his undersigned counsel, as and for his Complaint against Defendant Refinery 29 Inc., (“Refinery” or “Defendant”) hereby alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under Section 501 of the Copyright Act and for the removal and/or alteration of copyright management information under Section 1202(b) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. This action arises out of Defendant’s unauthorized reproduction and public display of a copyrighted photograph of a package of chicken cutlets owned and registered by Clark, a New York based photojournalist. Accordingly, Clark seeks monetary relief under the Copyright Act of the United States, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This claim arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant resides in and/or are doing business in New York.

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

5. Clark is a professional photojournalist in the business of licensing his photographs to online, print, and television stations for a fee, having a usual place of business at 1993 Beech Street, Wantagh, New York 11793. Clark's photographs have appeared in many publications around the United States.

6. Upon information and belief, Refinery is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a place of business at 225 Broadway, 23rd fl., New York, New York 10017. Upon information and belief, Refinery is registered with the New York Department of State, Division of Corporations to do business in the State of New York. At all times material hereto, Refinery has owned and operated a website at the URL: www.refinery29.com (the "Website").

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Background and Plaintiff's Ownership of the Photograph

7. On October 10, 2016, Clark photographed a package of chicken cutlets incorrectly labeled 'children cutlets' (the "Photograph"). A true and correct copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8. Clark then licensed the Photograph to the New York Post. On October 10, 2016, the New York Post ran an article that featured the Photograph on its web edition entitled, *Supermarket advertises 'fresh children cutlets'*. See <http://nypost.com/2016/10/10/supermarket-advertises-fresh-children-cutlets/>. Clark's name was featured in a gutter credit identifying him as

the photographer of the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the Photograph in the article is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

9. Clark is the author of the Photograph and has at all times been the sole owner of all right, title and interest in and to the Photograph, including the copyright thereto.

10. The Photograph was registered with the U.S. Copyright Office and was given pending Copyright Registration Number 1-4376519191. See Exhibit C.

B. Defendant's Infringing Activities

11. Upon information and belief, on or about October 11, 2016, Refinery ran an article on the Website entitled *This Ad Is Going Viral-For A Hilarious Reason*. See <http://www.refinery29.com/2016/10/126071/children-cutlets-grocery-store-ad>. The article prominently featured the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the article is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

12. Refinery did not license the Photograph from Plaintiff for its article, nor did Refinery have Plaintiff's permission or consent to publish the Photograph on its Website.

13. Upon information and belief, Refinery removed Clark's gutter credit and did not attribute the Photograph to anyone.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST REFINERY)
(17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501)

14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-13 above.

15. Refinery infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph by reproducing and publicly displaying the Photograph on the Website. Refinery is not, and has never been, licensed or otherwise authorized to reproduce, publically display, distribute and/or use the Photograph.

16. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.

17. Upon information and belief, the foregoing acts of infringement by Refinery have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifference to Plaintiff's rights.

18. As a direct and proximate cause of the infringement by the Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages and defendant's profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for the infringement.

19. Defendant's conduct, described above, is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff irreparable injury that cannot be fully compensated by or measured in money damages. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
INTEGRITY OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION AGAINST
REFINERY
(17 U.S.C. § 1202)

20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-19 above.

21. When the Photograph was published in an article in the New York Post, the article contained copyright management information under 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

22. Upon information and belief, in its article on the Website, Refinery intentionally and knowingly removed copyright management information identifying Plaintiff as the photographer of the Photograph.

23. The conduct of Refinery violates 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

24. Upon information and belief, Refinery's falsification, removal and/or alteration of the aforementioned copyright management information was made without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff.

25. Upon information and belief, the falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information was made by Refinery intentionally, knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph. Refinery also knew, or should have known, that such falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph.

26. As a result of the wrongful conduct of Refinery as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Refinery the damages, that he sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by Refinery because of their violations of 17 U.S.C. § 1202, including attorney's fees and costs.

27. Alternatively, Plaintiff may elect to recover from Refinery statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c) (3) in a sum of at least \$2,500 up to \$25,000 for each violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

1. That Defendant Refinery be adjudged to have infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C §§ 106 and 501;
2. The Defendant Refinery be adjudged to have falsified, removed and/or altered copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

3. Plaintiff be awarded Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's Photograph;
4. That, with regard to the Second Claim for Relief, Plaintiff be awarded either:
 - a) Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's falsification, removal and/or alteration of copyright management information; or b) alternatively, statutory damages of at least \$2,500 and up to \$ 25,000 for each instance of false copyright management information and/or removal or alteration of copyright management information committed by Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c);
5. That Defendant be required to account for all profits, income, receipts, or other benefits derived by Defendant as a result of its unlawful conduct;
6. That Plaintiff be awarded his costs, expenses and attorneys' fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(b);
7. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest; and
8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Dated: Valley Stream, New York
February 16, 2017

LIEBOWITZ LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: /s/Richard Liebowitz
Richard P. Liebowitz

11 Sunrise Plaza, Suite 305
Valley Stream, NY 11580
Tel: (516) 233-1660
RL@LiebowitzLawFirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Dennis Clark